OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

After reviewing data collected from **MESSER POND, NEW LONDON,** the program coordinators have made the following observations and recommendations:

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling the pond this season! Your monitoring group sampled **four** times this season! As you know, multiple sampling events each season enable DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the good work!

FIGURE INTERPRETATION

- **Figure 1 and Table 1:** Figure 1 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column. Table 1 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum, and mean concentration for each sampling season that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

  Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of the algal abundance. Because algae are usually microscopic plants that contain chlorophyll-a, and are naturally found in lake ecosystems, the chlorophyll-a concentration measured in the water gives an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. **The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m^3.**

  The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration ***increased steadily*** from **June** to **August**, and then ***decreased greatly*** from **August** to **September**.

  The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 chlorophyll-a mean is ***approximately equal to*** the state median and is ***slightly less than*** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a ***stabilizing trend*** which is ***slightly less than*** the similar lake median. Specifically, the mean concentration has ***remained between approximately 4 and 5.2*** **mg/m³** since **2000.**

In the 2006 annual report, since your group will have sampled the chlorophyll-a concentration at the deep spot for at least 10 consecutive years, we will conduct a statistical analysis of the historic data to determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean since monitoring began.

While algae are naturally present in all lakes/ponds, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. In freshwater lakes/ponds, phosphorus is the nutrient that algae depend upon for growth. Algal concentrations may increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase (such as sediment phosphorus releases, known as internal loading). Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about activities within the watershed that affect phosphorus loading and pond quality.

- **Figure 2 and Table 3:** Figure 2 (Appendix A) shows the historical and current year data for pond transparency. Table 3 (Appendix B) lists the maximum, minimum and mean transparency data for each sampling season that the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

  Volunteer monitors use the Secchi-disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure water clarity (how far a person can see into the water). Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment from erosion, as well as the natural colors of the water. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

  The current year data (the top graph) show that the in-lake transparency ***remained relatively stable*** from **June** to **September**.

  The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the 2005 mean transparency is ***less than*** the state median and the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

  Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a ***decreasing, meaning worsening,*** transparency trend since monitoring began in **1996.** It is important to point out that the 2005 mean annual transparency is the ***shallowest depth*** that has been measured since monitoring began. We hope that this worsening trend does not continue.

Since the deep spot transparency will have been sampled for at least ten consecutive years, in the 2006 annual report we will conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment erosion to flow into lakes/ponds and streams, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to Best Management Practices designed to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, such as sediment loading, are available from DES upon request.

- **Figure 3 and Table 8:** The graphs in Figure 3 (Appendix A) show the amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) phosphorus and hypolimnetic (lower layer) phosphorus; the inset graphs show current year data. Table 8 (Appendix B) lists the annual maximum, minimum, and median concentration for each deep spot layer and each tributary since the pond has joined VLAP.

  Phosphorus is the limiting nutrient for plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's freshwater lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a lake/pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. **The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.**

  The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***remained stable*** from **June** to **July**, and then ***decreased steadily*** from **July** to **September**.

  The historical data show that the 2005 mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***approximately equal to*** the state median and the similar lake median.

  The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration ***increased steadily*** from **June** to **August,** and then ***decreased*** from **August** to **September**.

The turbidity of the hypolimnion (lower layer) sample was ***elevated*** on the **July** and **August** sampling events (**3.34 and 2.82 NTUs, respectively**). Historically, the hypolimnetic turbidity level has been ***at least slightly elevated*** on most sampling events. This suggests that the lake bottom is composed of a thick layer of organic material that is easily disturbed. The presence of a thick organic layer on the lake bottom (which is likely comprised of decomposed plants and algae, and also sediment) would also explain the lower dissolved oxygen concentration near the lake bottom.

The historical data show that the 2005 mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is ***approximately equal to*** the state median and is ***slightly less than*** the similar lake median (refer to Appendix F for more information about the similar lake median).

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line for the epilimnion and hypolimnion shows a ***slightly increasing, meaning slightly worsening,*** phosphorus trend since monitoring began in 1996.

As previously discussed, since your group will have sampled the phosphorus concentration at the deep spot for at least 10 consecutive years, the 2006 annual report will include a statistical analysis of the historic data to determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean since monitoring began.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about its sources and how excessive amounts can adversely impact the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds. Phosphorus sources within a lake or pond's watershed typically include septic systems, animal waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, and natural wetlands.

TABLE INTERPRETATION

- **Table 2: Phytoplankton**
  Table 2 (Appendix B) lists the current and historical phytoplankton species observed in the pond. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton species observed in the sample and their relative abundance in the sample.

  The dominant phytoplankton species observed in the **August** sample were ***Synedra* (diatom), *Chrysosphaerella* (golden-brown),** and ***Synura* (golden-brown).**

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season (Please refer to the "Biological Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession). Diatoms and golden-brown algae are typical in New Hampshire's less productive lakes and ponds.

- **Table 4: pH**

Table 4 (Appendix B) presents the in-lake and tributary current year and historical pH data.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the surface waters in the state are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean pH at the deep spot this season ranged from **6.03** in the hypolimnion to **6.50** in the epilimnion, which means that the water is ***slightly acidic.***

It is important to point out that the pH in the hypolimnion (lower layer) was ***lower (more acidic)*** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the pond bottom is likely due the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the presence of granite bedrock in the state and acid deposition (from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates) in New Hampshire, there is not much that can be done to effectively increase lake/pond pH.

- **Table 5: Acid Neutralizing Capacity**

Table 5 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical epilimnetic ANC for each year the pond has been monitored through VLAP.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The mean Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (the upper layer) was **7.7 mg/L** this season, which is ***greater than*** the state median. In addition, this indicates that the pond is ***moderately vulnerable*** to acidic inputs (such as acid precipitation).

- **Table 6: Conductivity**
  Table 6 (Appendix B) presents the current and historical conductivity values for tributaries and in-lake data. Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current (which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column). The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

  The mean annual conductivity in the epilimnion at the deep spot this season was **137.93 uMhos/cm**, which is ***much greater than*** the state median.

  The conductivity has ***fluctuated, but increased overall,*** in the pond and inlets since monitoring began. Typically, sources of increased conductivity are due to human activity. These activities include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff (which contains road salt during the spring snow melt). New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

  We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the lake and the tributaries with ***elevated*** conductivity to help pinpoint the sources of ***elevated*** conductivity.

  To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

  It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

  Therefore, we recommend that the **epilimnion** (upper layer) and the inlets be sampled for chloride next season. This sampling may help us pinpoint what areas of the watershed which are contributing to the increasing in-lake conductivity.

Please note that there will be an additional cost for each of the chloride samples and that these samples must be analyzed at the DES laboratory in Concord. In addition, it is best to conduct chloride sampling in the spring as the snow is melting and during rain events.

- **Table 8: Total Phosphorus**

Table 8 (Appendix B) presents the current year and historical total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations. Phosphorus is the nutrient that limits the algae's ability to grow and reproduce. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The total phosphorus concentration was ***extremely elevated*** in the **171 Forest Acres Road** sample on the **July** and **September** sampling events (**55 and 114 ug/L,** respectively). The turbidity of the **July** sample was ***elevated*** (**3.01 NTUs**). On the annual biologist visit in **August**, the biologist inspected this location and observed that two new culvert crossings have been constructed in this location. On both sides of the road, bank undercutting and sediment deposits in the brook were observed. The elevated phosphorus results and evidence of erosion indicates that phosphorus and sediment is being washed into the brook and the pond. Runoff will continue to be a problem, and will likely increase in severity, until the soil is stabilized in this area and the velocity of runoff is reduced.

The total phosphorus concentration in **Brown Inlet** continued to be ***extremely elevated*** this season (**ranging from 53 to 130 ug/L**) and the turbidity continued to be ***elevated*** as well (**ranging from 2.68 to 25.8 NTUs**) which suggests that erosion is occurring in this portion of the watershed. This station has had a history of ***elevated*** and ***fluctuating*** phosphorus and turbidity levels.

If you suspect that erosion is occurring in this portion of the watershed, we recommend that your monitoring group conduct a stream survey and storm event sampling along this inlet. This additional sampling may allow us to determine what is causing the ***elevated*** levels of turbidity and phosphorus. Once the source of erosion is located, the association must notify the Town to stabilize the disturbed areas.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

- **Table 9 and Table 10: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Data**
  Table 9 (Appendix B) shows the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) for the 2005 sampling season. Table 10 (Appendix B) shows the historical and current year dissolved oxygen concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer). The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to fish and amphibians in the water column and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

  The dissolved oxygen concentration was ***lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)*** at the deep spot of the pond on the **August** sampling event. As stratified ponds age, and as the summer progresses, oxygen typically becomes ***depleted*** in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the loss of oxygen in the hypolimnion results primarily from the process of biological breakdown of organic matter (i.e.; biological organisms use oxygen to break down organic matter), both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the pond where the water meets the sediment. When oxygen levels are depleted to less than 1 mg/L in the hypolimnion **(as it was this season and in past seasons),** the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column (a process referred to as ***internal phosphorus loading***).

- **Table 11: Turbidity**
  Table 11 (Appendix B) lists the current year and historical data for in-lake and tributary turbidity. Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

  In addition to the turbidity being ***elevated*** in the **hypolimnion** (lower layer) on the **July** and **August** sampling events**,** the turbidity of the **epilimnion** (upper layer) sample was ***slightly elevated*** on the **June** sampling event (**1.79 NTUs**). It had rained heavily for the three days prior to sampling which likely contributed sediment-laden stormwater runoff to the lake causing the elevated epilimnetic turbidity.

  The turbidity was also ***elevated*** in the **County Road Inlet** sample on the **July** and **September** sampling events (**2.22 and 4.7 NTUs**, respectively). On the **July** sampling event, it has rained approximately two inches during the previous three days which likely contributed to sediment-laden stormwater runoff in this area of the watershed. On the **September** sampling event, it had not rained for at least seven days, and there was very low flow in this brook. It is possible that the stream bottom was disturbed which introduced turbidity into the sample and/or that algal growth in the stream contributed to the elevated turbidity.

When the stream bottom is disturbed, sediment, which typically contains attached phosphorus, is released into the water column. When collecting samples in the inlets, please be sure to sample where the stream is flowing and where the stream is deep enough to collect a "clean" sample.

- **Table 12: Bacteria (*E.coli*)**

  Table 12 lists the current year and historical data for bacteria *(E.coli*) testing. (Please note that Table 12 now lists the maximum and minimum results for this season and for all past sampling seasons.) *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **MAY** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **MAY** also be present.

  The *E. coli* concentration at **County Road Inlet** was ***elevated*** on the **July** sampling event. However, the concentration of **250** counts per 100 mL ***was not greater than*** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational waters that are not designated public beaches.

  If you are concerned about *E. coli* levels at this station, your monitoring group should conduct rain event sampling and bracket sampling in this area. This additional sampling may help us determine the source of the bacteria.

  For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event and bracketing sampling, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report "Special Topic Article" or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

- **Table 14: Current Year Biological and Chemical Raw Data**

  This table lists the most current sampling season results. Since the maximum, minimum, and annual mean values for each parameter are not shown on this table, this table displays the current year "raw" (meaning unprocessed) data. The results are sorted by station, depth zone (epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion) and parameter.

- **Table 15: Station Table**
  As of the Spring of 2004, all historical and current year VLAP data are included in the DES Environmental Monitoring Database (EMD). To facilitate the transfer of VLAP data into the EMD, a new station identification system had to be developed. While volunteer monitoring groups can still use the sampling station names that they have used in the past (and are most familiar with), an EMD station name also exists for each VLAP sampling location. For each station sampled at your pond, Table 15 identifies what EMD station name corresponds to the station names you have used in the past and will continue to use in the future.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit:

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a "Sampling Procedures Assessment Audit" for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled out an assessment audit sheet to document the ability of the volunteer monitors to follow the proper field sampling procedures (as outlined in the VLAP Monitor's Field Manual). This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors fail to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples that the volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group performed ***very well*** while collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the majority of the proper field sampling procedures. The biologist did identify a few aspects regarding sample collection that the volunteer monitors could improve upon. They are as follows:

- **Chlorophyll-a sampling (composite method):** When collecting the chlorophyll-a sample using the composite method, please make sure to collect equal amounts (usually one Kemmerer bottle full) of water at each meter from 4 meters up to 1 meter from the surface.

Sample Receipt Checklist:

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if the volunteer monitors followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, future re-occurrences of improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did an ***excellent*** job when collecting samples and submitting them to the laboratory this season! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the laboratory staff to contact your group with questions, and no samples were rejected for analysis.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Acid Deposition Impacting New Hampshire's Ecosystems, NHDES Fact Sheet ARD-32, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/ard/ard-32.htm.

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, NHDES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975.

Best Management Practices for Well Drilling Operations, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WSEB-21-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/factsheets/ws/ws-21-4.htm.

Biodegradable Soaps and Water Quality, NHDES Fact Sheet BB-54, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-54.htm.

Canada Geese Facts and Management Options, NHDES Fact Sheet BB-53, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-53.htm.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-1.htm.

Impacts of Development Upon Stormwater Runoff, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WQE-7, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-7.htm.

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-9.htm.

Low Impact Development: Taking Steps to Protect New Hampshire's Surface Waters NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-17.htm.

Proper Lawn Care In the Protected Shoreland, The Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-SP-2, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-2.htm.

Road Salt and Water Quality, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-4.htm.

Sand Dumping - Beach Construction, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-15, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-15.htm.

Shorelands Under the Jurisdiction of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, NHDES Fact Sheet SP-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/sp/sp-4.htm.

Soil Erosion and Sediment Control on Construction Sites, NHDES Fact Sheet WQE-6, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wqe/wqe-6.htm.

Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or www.nalms.org.

Weed Watchers: An Association to Halt the Spread of Exotic Aquatic Plants, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-BB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/bb/bb-4.htm.

Watershed Districts and Ordinances, NHDES Fact Sheet WD-WMB-16, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.state.nh.us/factsheets/wmb/wmb-16.htm.